# 182. The Preparation of Optically Pure 7-Oxabicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-ene Derivatives. The CD Spectrum of (+)-(1*R*)-7-Oxabicyclo [2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one

by Eric Vieira and Pierre Vogel\*

Institut de chimie organique de l'Université, 2, rue de la Barre, CH-1005 Lausanne

Dedicated to Prof. Ch. Tamm on the occasion of his 60th birthday

(12.4.83)

## Summary

(-)-l-Camphanoyloxyacrylonitrile (=(-)-l-cyanovinyl camphanate; 1) obtained from the commercially available (-)-camphanoyl chloride and 2-oxopropiononitrile added to furan at 20° in the presence of Cu  $(BF_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  or ZnI<sub>2</sub> and gave a mixture of 2-cyano-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl camphanates (2-5) from which isomer 5 could be obtained pure by crystallization. The latter was transformed into (+)-(1R)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one (6) in high yield and optical purity. Adducts 2-4 were recycled into 1+ furan by heating in toluene, and (-)-camphanic acid was recovered after saponification of 5. The absolute configuration of 6 was deduced from its CD spectrum which showed two 1200-cm<sup>-1</sup> Franck-Condon series for its  $n \rightarrow \pi_{CO}^*$  transition.

**Introduction.** - Differently substituted 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes have been used as starting materials in the syntheses of anthracyclines [1], nucleosides [2], muscarine derivatives [3], prostaglandines [4], antibiotics [5], and other products of biological interest [6]. This bicyclic framework is available through the *Diels-Alder* addition of furans to strongly activated dienophiles [7], and these often sluggish cycloadditions can be accelerated by applying very high pressure [8] or, more simply, by adding catalytic amounts of Cu(I)-, Cu(II)- [9] or Zn(II)-salts [10]. Optically pure 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl derivatives should have a particularly promising future in the synthesis of natural products and compounds of biological interest such as nucleosides [11] and cyclitols [10a], because the stereo-and regioselectivity of electrophilic additions to their C, C double bond can be directed by modifying the nature of the substituents at C(2) [12]<sup>1</sup>). We report now

Benzeneselenyl and arenesulfenyl halides add to 2-cyano-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl acetate with high stereo- and regioselectivity. In the corresponding adducts, the electrophile substitutes the *exo*-position of C(6) and the halide the *endo*-position of C(5). In contrast, the additions to 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one give adducts where the electrophile substituent is in *exo*position of C(5) [13].

HELVETICA CHIMICA ACTA - Vol. 66, Fasc. 6 (1983) - Nr. 182

preliminary results concerning the preparation of such optically active bicyclic systems, which might become advantageous synthetic intermediates by providing a possible alternative to carbohydrate derivatives in the asymmetric synthesis of natural products [14].

**Results and Discussion.** – Furan adds to *a*-acetoxyacrylonitrile (=1-cyanovinyl acetate) at 20° in the presence of catalytical amounts of  $\text{Cu}(\text{BF}_4)_2 \cdot 2 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$  [9]. The cycloaddition can also be catalyzed with  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  or  $\text{ZnI}_2$  [13]; the latter catalyst gives the smallest amount of side-products and does not require the presence of a protic acid scavenger such as propene oxide [9]. Under the same conditions, furan added to the new dienophile 1, prepared by *O*-acylation of oxopropiononitrile with (-)-camphanoyl chloride following *Oku*'s technique [15] (benzene/pyridine, 20°, 24 h), and gave a mixture of adducts 2-5 (92% yield; 20°, 4 days, 1 equiv. of 1, 4 equiv. of furan and 0.5 equiv. of  $\text{ZnI}_2$ ).



The ratio between the pairs of *exo*- and *endo*-cyano isomers (2+5 vs. 3+4) was *ca*. 80:20 when the catalyzed *Diels-Alder* reaction was carried out between 0° and 30 °C. When a 1:10:0.1 mixture of 1, furan, and ZnI<sub>2</sub> was heated at 60 °C for one day in a sealed pyrex tube, an equilibrium mixture of starting materials and cycloadducts was obtained which contained a 58-60% yield of cycloadducts 2-5, and the ratio of 2+5 to 3+4 was approximately 65:35. When the same mixture of starting materials was heated to 80 °C, equilibrium was reached after a few hours (adducts 2-5 produced in *ca*. 50% yield), and the ratio of 2+5 to 3+4 was about 55:45 (by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy).

Three successive recrystallizations from AcOEt/hexane of the adduct mixture obtained at 20 °C furnished isomer 5 (the more polar one by HPLC), 98% pure (by 360-<sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis of the CH<sub>3</sub>-signals and by HPLC), in 29% yield (based on dienophile 1). The other isomers 2-4 were decomposed almost quantitatively into furan and 1 (which can be recycled to generate more 5) by heating at reflux in toluene. Saponification of 5 followed by treatment with formalin (40% H<sub>2</sub>CO in water) afforded optically active (+)-(1*R*)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one (6, 96%) and (-)-camphanic acid (76%) which can be recycled into 1.



The distinction between *exo-* and *endo*-cyano derivatives 2+5 and 3+4, respectively, was based on the 360-MHz-<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra, and by comparison of these spectra with those of analogous 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl derivatives [9]. It must be considered as tentative. The absolute configuration of **6** was based on its circular dichroism (CD) spectrum (*cf. Fig. 1b*) and by comparison with those of (+)-(1*R*)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one (7) [16] and (+)-(1*R*)-bicyclo-[2.2.2]oct-5-en-2-one (8) [17]. As expected for  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ -enones [18-20], a relatively strong, positive *Cotton* effect is measured for the carbonyl  $n \rightarrow \pi_{CO}^*$  transition at 312 nm ( $\Delta \varepsilon + 13.2$ , isooctane). As for the analogs 7 ( $\Delta \varepsilon_{214}$ ) and 8 ( $\Delta \varepsilon_{213}$ ) [16] [17], another positive *Cotton* effect is also observed at 205 nm ( $\Delta \varepsilon + 20.8$ , isooctane) for a transition assigned to a 'charge-transfer' band between the homoconjugated



Figure. a and c: Gas Phase UV Absorption Spectra of 6; b: Gas Phase CD Spectrum of (+)-6 with Experimental Base-line

carbonyl and ethylene chromophores [17a]  $[19]^2$ ). The latter transition is already apparent in the UV absorption spectrum of **6** (*Fig. 1c*).

Between 250 and 350 nm, the CD and UV spectra of 6 differ slightly from those of 7 [16] and 8 [17]: the *Franck-Condon* contour of the  $n \rightarrow \pi_{CO}^*$  band of 6 reveals two 1200-cm<sup>-1</sup> series. The first consists of conspicuous peaks, the second of 'bumps' and shoulders which are perceptible inbetween (*Fig. 1*). This observation can be ascribed to the double minima potential of the  ${}^{1}n, \pi_{CO}^*$  state. This is due to the C=O-bond stretching and bending, *i.e.*, the nuclear configuration around the C-atom of the carbonyl group is no longer planar, but rather pyramidal [22]. Because of the non-symmetrical environment of the  $\beta, \gamma$ -enone 6, the doubleminima energy well of the excited state is no longer degenerate<sup>3</sup>) [16]. Some other vibration may also be involved and made responsible of the double vibronic series observed<sup>4</sup>).

We thank Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. AG, Basel, the Fonds Herbette, Lausanne, and the Fonds national suisse de la recherche scientifique for financial support. We are grateful also to Prof. W. Marti, Institut de chimie minérale de l'Université de Neuchâtel, for the use of his JASCO dichrograph.

## **Experimental Part**

General Remarks. See [23]. UV absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer-Hitachi 340 spectrometer, the CD spectra on a JASCO J500-C dichrograph ( $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) in nm), and the specific rotations on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. None of the procedures given below has been optimized. (-)-1-Cyanovinyl Camphanate (1). (-)-Camphanoyl chloride (21.7 g, 0.1 mol, Fluka) and 2-oxopropiononitrile (8.53 ml, 0.12 mol) were mixed in anh. benzene (50 ml). After cooling to 0°, 4M anh. pyridine in benzene (36 ml) was added dropwise under stirring and under N<sub>2</sub>. After stirring

at 20° for 24 h, the mixture was filtered through silica gel (40 g, washing with CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and washed with sat. aq. CuSO<sub>4</sub> (100 ml, 3 times) and then with H<sub>2</sub>O (100 ml, 3 times). After drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was evaporated *i.v.* yielding 20.7 g (83%) of 1, white solid, m.p. 99–101°;  $[a]_{256}^{22} = -14.1$ ,  $[a]_{276}^{22} = -14.8$ ,  $[a]_{246}^{22} = -17.0$ ,  $[a]_{2456}^{22} = -30.6$ ,  $[a]_{2565}^{22} = -52.2$  (*c* = 17.1 mg/ml, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). UV (dioxane): final absorption  $\epsilon_{212}$  = 5440. IR (KBr): 3150, 3060, 3010, 2980, 2245, 1800, 1775, 1645, 1100, 1055. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 360 MHz): 5.91, 5.77 (2 *d*,  $J_{gem}$  = 4, 2 H); 2.8–1.5 (*m*, 4 H); 1.17, 1.12, 1.06 (3 *s*, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 15.08 MHz): 176.9, 164.5 (2 *s*); 126.8 (*s*); 120.0 (*t*, <sup>1</sup> $J_{C,H}$  = 171); 112.4 (*s*); 89.9 (*s*); 54.9, 54.7 (2 *s*); 30.6, 28.6 (2 *t*, <sup>1</sup> $J_{C,H}$  = 139); 16.4, 16.4, 9.4 (3 *q*, <sup>1</sup> $J_{C,H}$  = 130). MS (70 eV): 249 (0.6, *M*<sup>+</sup>), 248 (2.2), 221 (23), 181 (32), 153 (4.4), 83 (100).

C13H15NO4 (249.27) Calc. C 62.64 H 6.07% Found C 62.36 H 6.01%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) This contrasts with 5,6-dimethylidene-2-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptanone [21], 5,6-dimethylidene-2-bicyclo-[2.2.2]octanone [17a] and many other β,γ-enones [19] for which the rotational strengths of the n→π<sup>\*</sup><sub>CO</sub> and charge-transfer bands were found to have opposite signs. The similarity of the CD spectra of 6-8 suggest that the ethereal bridge O(7) in 6 plays no significant role on the chiroptical properties of this β,γ-unsaturated ketone. If there should be a charge-transfer transition involving the oxygen bridge and the carbonyl or/and the olefinic functions, it is not visible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) The well-defined *Franck-Condon* contour of the two vibronic series observed between 250 and 350 nm as well as the similarity of the UV and CD spectra measured in the gas-phase and in isooctane make it difficult to attribute one of these series to a charge-transfer n(O(7)) → π<sup>\*</sup><sub>CO</sub> or n(O(7)) → π<sup>\*</sup><sub>CC</sub> transition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) We thank the referee for the latter suggestion.

2-Cyano-7-oxabicyclo [2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl Camphanates (2-5). To a solution of 1 (498 mg, 2 mmol) in furan (0.58 ml, 8 mmol), Znl<sub>2</sub> (319 mg, 1 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 20° for 4 days. The mixture was filtered through silica gel (10 g, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml, 3 times). After drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was evaporated *i.v.* yielding a mixture of 1-5 containing a 92-93% yield of the adducts 2-5 (ca. 45:5:5:45) which were separated by HPLC (Dupont, Zorbax-sil, length 25 cm, diameter 21.2 mm, AcOEt/hexane 1:3 (v/v), 13-14 ml/min). Characteristics of 2: m.p. 135.5-136°. [a] $\frac{2}{5}$ <sup>6</sup>/<sub>8</sub> = -97.5, [a] $\frac{2}{5}$ <sup>6</sup>/<sub>8</sub> = -102.1, [a] $\frac{2}{5}$ <sup>4</sup>/<sub>6</sub> = -117.4, [a] $\frac{2}{436}$ <sup>6</sup> = -212.8, [a] $\frac{2}{365}$  = -364.8 (c=16.7 mg/mol, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). 1R (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3040, 2980, 2940, 2250, 1800, 1750, 1100, 1060. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 360 MHz): 6.71, 6.24 (2 dd, J = 6.0, 2.0, 2 H); 5.66 (d, J = 2, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.18 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.0, 1 H, H-C(4)); 2.81 (dd, J<sub>gem</sub> = 13, J = 5.0, 1 H, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(3)); 2.49-2.36 (m, 1 H); 2.08-1.90 (m, 1 H); 1.84 (d, J<sub>gem</sub> = 13, 1 H, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(3)); 1.76-1.65 (m, 1 H); 1.12, 1.06, 0.96 (3 s, 9 H). MS (C1, CH<sub>4</sub>): 318 (1.5, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 290 (2.3), 278 (10), 271 (2), 250 (20), 205 (21), 181 (20), 153 (100), 68 (65).

### C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (317.34) Calc. C 64.34 H 6.03% Found C 64.66 H 6.21%

Characteristics of 3: m.p.  $150.5-151^{\circ}$ .  $[a]_{256}^{2} = -38.7$ ,  $[a]_{256}^{2} = -40.5$ ,  $[a]_{256}^{2} = -46.5$ ,  $[a]_{256}^{2} = -83.9$ ,  $[a]_{256}^{2} = -142.8$  (c = 7.3 mg/ml, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3040, 2980, 2940, 2245, 1800, 1750, 1100, 1058. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 360 MHz): 6.76, 6.53 (2 *dd*, J = 6.0, 2.0, 2 H); 5.36 (*d*, J = 2.0, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.20 (*dd*, J = 5.0, 2.0, 1 H, H-C(4)); 2.53-2.41 (*m*, 1 H); 2.36 (*d*, J = 13, 1 H, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(3)); 2.29 (*dd*, J = 13.0, 5.0, 1 H, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(3)); 2.16-2.04 (*m*, 1 H); 2.01-1.91 (*m*, 1 H); 1.79-1.67 (*m*, 1 H); 1.13, 1.08, 1.00 (3 *s*, 9 H). MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>): 318 (2.3,  $M^+ + 1$ ), 290 (8), 278 (44), 250 (43), 205 (39), 181 (31), 153 (100), 68 (36).

C17H19NO5 (317.34) Calc. C 64.34 H 6.03% Found C 64.44 H 5.85%

Characteristics of 4: m.p.  $153.5-154^{\circ}$ .  $[a]_{289}^{2} = + 12.9$ ,  $[a]_{578}^{2} = + 13.6$ ,  $[a]_{546}^{2} = + 15.9$ ,  $[a]_{236}^{2} = + 29.1$ ,  $[a]_{555}^{2} = + 50.6$  (c = 9.3 mg/ml, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3040, 2980, 2940, 2245, 1800, 1750, 1100, 1058. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 360 MHz): 6.76, 6.53 (2 dd, J = 6.0, 2.0, 2 H); 5.37 (d, J = 2.0, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.20 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.0, 1 H, H-C(4)); 2.53-2.41 (m, 1 H); 2.36 (d,  $J_{gem} = 13.0$ , 1 H,  $H_{endo}$ -C(3)); 2.28 (dd, J = 13.0, 5.0, 1 H,  $H_{exo}$ -C(3)); 2.16-2.04 (m, 1 H); 2.01-1.91 (m, 1 H); 1.79-1.67 (m, 1 H); 1.13, 1.09, 1.00 (3 s, 9 H). MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>): 318 (1.5,  $M^{+} + 1$ ), 290 (2), 278 (9), 271 (3), 250 (15), 205 (19), 181 (20), 153 (100), 68 (48).

C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (317.34) Calc. C 64.34 H 6.03% Found C 64.30 H 5.90%

Characteristics of **5**: m.p. 155-156°.  $[a]_{359}^{25} = +78.1$ ,  $[a]_{578}^{25} = +81.7$ ,  $[a]_{546}^{25} = +93.9$ ,  $[a]_{436}^{25} = +169.5$ ,  $[a]_{3565}^{25} = +288.9$  (c = 16.3 mg/ml, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). UV (dioxane): final absorption,  $\varepsilon_{212} = 1250$ . IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3040, 2980, 2940, 2250, 1800, 1750, 1100, 1062. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 360 MHz): 6.69, 6.25 (2 dd, J = 6.0, 2.0, 2 H); 5.57 (d, J = 2.0, 1 H, H–C(1)); 5.18 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.0, 1 H, H–C(4)); 2.82 (dd, J = 13.0, 5.0, 1 H,  $H_{exo}$ –C(3)); 2.43–2.33 (m, 1 H); 2.10–1.89 (m, 2 H); 1.82 (d, J = 13.0, 1 H,  $H_{endo}$ –C(3)); 1.76–1.64 (m, 1 H); 1.12, 1.07, 0.98 (3 s, 9 H). MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>): 318 (1.7,  $M^+$  + 1), 290 (2.5), 278 (8), 271 (3), 250 (12), 205 (17), 181 (20), 153 (100), 68 (50).

C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (317.34) Calc. C 64.34 H 6.03% Found C 64.58 H 6.06%

(+)-(1R)-7-Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one (6). The mixture of camphanates 1-5 obtained above (1 g) was dissolved in AcOEt (15 ml). Hexane (35 ml) was added at 20°, and the mixture was allowed to stand at 20° for 1 h. Hexane (10 ml) was added and the mixture allowed to stand at 20° for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h. After 3 more additions of hexane (10 ml), 0.442 g of crystalline solid of which 80% was 5, was isolated by filtration and washed with ether/pentane 1:1. The above recrystallization procedure was repeated with this product using 45% of the above amounts of solvents. This yielded 0.39 g of 5 (ca. 90% diastereoisomerically pure). A third recrystallization afforded 0.314 g (29% based on 1) of 5, (98% diastereoisomerically pure, as determined by 360-MHz-<sup>1</sup>H-NMR). In a 100-ml flask, 5 (1.19 g, 3.75 mmol;  $[a]_D = + 77.1$ , 98% optical purity) was dissolved in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1 (18 ml), and 1N KOH in H<sub>2</sub>O (8 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred at 20° for 30 min and then formaline (40% H<sub>2</sub>CO in H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 ml) was added. After stirring at 20° for 10 min, the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (80 ml, 4 times). The org. extract was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (35 ml, 2 times). After drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was eliminated by distillation (*Vigreux* column). The residue was distilled at 80°/10 Torr in a *Hickmann* apparatus yielding 396 mg (96%) of **6**.  $[a]_{289}^{28} = + 859.9$ ,  $[a]_{2578}^{28} = + 909.0$ ,  $[a]_{346}^{25} = +1080.8$ ,  $[a]_{436}^{25} = +2436.2$ ,  $[a]_{355}^{25} = +6564.6$  (c = 23.8 mg/ml, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)<sup>5</sup>). CD (isooctane): 312 (+13.2), 205 (+20.8). CD (95% EtOH): 310 (+12.1), 205 (+13.7); s. *Figure 1B* for the gas-phase CD spectrum<sup>5</sup>). The other spectral data are identical to those reported for the racemic ketone [9].

C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (110.11) Calc. C 65.45 H 5.49% Found C 65.32 H 5.38%

Saponification of 2 and 4 (isolated by HPLC) gave (-)-6 and (+)-6, respectively.

Recovering of (-)-Camphanic Acid. The aq. phase obtained above was acidified with conc. HCl and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 ml, 2 times). The org. phase was washed with sat. aq. NaCl (50 ml, 4 times), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated *i.v.* The solid residue was recrystallized from AcOEt/hexane and yielded 565 mg (76%) of (-)-camphanic acid, m.p. 198-199°.

### REFERENCES

- P.-A. Carrupt & P. Vogel, Tetrahedron Lett. 20, 4533 (1979); J. Tamariz & P. Vogel, ibid. 64, 188 (1981); R. Roulet, Ph. Vioget & P. Vogel, Angew. Chem. 94, 454 (1982); J. Tamariz, L. Schwager, J. H. A. Stibbard & P. Vogel, Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 1497 (1983); U.E. Wiersum, Aldrichimica Acta 14, 53 (1981).
- [2] G. Just & M.I. Lim, Can. J. Chem. 55, 2993 (1977); G. Just, T.J. Liak, M.I. Lim, P. Potuin & Y.S. Tsantrizos, ibid. 58, 2024 (1980); G. Just & A. Martel, Tetrahedron Lett. 17, 1517 (1973); A.P. Kozikowski, W.C. Floyd & M.P. Kuniak, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 582; Y. Ho, T. Shibata, M. Arita, H. Sawai & M. Ohno, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6739 (1981); A.P. Kozikowski & A. Ames, ibid. 103, 3923 (1981).
- [3] W. L. Nelson, D. R. Allen & F. F. Vincenzi, J. Med. Chem. 14, 698 (1971); H. Kotsuki & H. Nishizawa, Heterocycles 16, 1287 (1981); A. P. Cowling, J. Mann & A.A. Usmani, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1981, 2116.
- [4] T.A. Eggelte, H. de Konig & H.O. Huisman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1978, 980.
- [5] A. Murai, K. Takahashi, H. Takesturu & T. Masamune, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 221;
  T. Imagawa, I. Nakagawa, K. Matsuura, T. Akiyama & M. Kawanisi, Chem. Lett. 1981, 903; see also:
  T. Mukaiyama, N. Iwasawa, T. Tsuji & K. Narasaka, ibid. 1979, 1175 and ref. cit. therein.
- [6] P.A. Grieco, R.E. Zelle, R. Lis & J. Finn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 1403 (1983) and ref. cit. therein.
- [7] R. M. Acheson, 'An Introduction to the Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds', Wiley-Interscience, New York 1960; P. Bosshard & C.H. Eugster, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 7, 377 (1966), Academic Press, New York; R.J. Ouellette, A. Rosenblum & G. Booth, J. Org. Chem. 33, 4302 (1968); F. Kienzle, Helv. Chim. Acta 58, 1180 (1975); P. Vogel, B. Willhalm & H. Prinzbach, ibid. 52, 584 (1969); A. W. McCulloch, D. G. Smith & A.G. McInnes, Can. J. Chem. 52, 1013 (1974); H. D. Scharf, P. Friedrich & A. Linckens, Synthesis 1976, 256; G. Maier & W.A. Jung, Chem. Ber. 115, 804 (1982); P. F. Schuda & J. M. Benett, Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 5525 (1982).
- [8] W. G. Dauben & H. O. Krabbenhoft, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1992 (1976); J. Rimmelin, G. Jenner & P. Rimmelin, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1978, II-461; H. Kutsuki, A. Kondo, H. Nishizawa, M. Ochi & K. Matsuoka, J. Org. Chem. 46, 5454 (1981); J. Jurczak, T. Kozluk, St. Filipek & C. H. Eugster, Helv. Chim. Acta 65, 1021 (1982); H. Kotzuki, H. Nishizawa, M. Ochi & K. Matsuoka, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 55, 496 (1982); N. S. Isaacs & P. Van der Becke, Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 2147 (1982).
- [9] E. Vieira & P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta 65, 1700 (1982).
- [10] a) F. Brion, Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 5299 (1982); b) R.H. Hall, S. Harkema, H.J. den Hertog, G.J. van Hummel & D.N. Reinhoudt, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 100, 312 (1981).
- [11] R.R. Schmidt, C. Beitzke & A.K. Forrest, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 909.
- [12] P.-A. Carrupt & P. Vogel, Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 2563 (1982).
- [13] K.A. Black & P. Vogel, in preparation.
- [14] B. Fraser-Reid & R.C. Anderson, in 'Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products', Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York 1980, Vol. 39, p. 2 and ref. cit. therein; S. Hanessian, Acc. Chem. Res. 12, 159 (1979) and ref. cit. therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>) Not corrected for 98% optical purity.

- [15] A. Oku & S. Arita, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 52, 3337 (1979).
- [16] P. H. Schippers, J. P. M. van der Ploeg & H. P. J. M. Dekkers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 84 (1983) and ref. cit. therein.
- [17] a) P.-A. Carrupt & P. Vogel, Tetrahedron Lett. 22, 4721 (1981); b) P.H. Schippers & H.P.J.M. Dekkers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 79 (1983).
- [18] R. C. Cookson & S. Mackenzie, Proc. Chem. Soc. (London) 1961, 423; A. Moscowitz, K. Mislow, M.A. W. Glass & C. Djerassi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 1945 (1962).
- [19] R. E. Ballard, S. F. Mason & G. W. Vane, Trans. Faraday Soc. 59, 775 (1963); R. Grinter, S. F. Mason & G. W. Vane, ibid. 60, 285 (1964); see also: D.A. Lightner, J. K. Gawronski, A. E. Hansen & T. D. Bouman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 4291 (1981); J. M. Conia, J. P. Drouet & J. Goré, Tetrahedron 27, 2481 (1971); B.B. Dewhurst, J. S. E. Holker, A. Lablache-Combier, M.R.G. Leeming, J. Levisalles & J.-P. Pete, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1964, 3259.
- [20] K.N. Houk, Chem. Rev. 76, 1 (1976).
- [21] J.-M. Sonney & P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 1034 (1980); see also: C. Barras, R. Roulet, E. Vieira, P. Vogel & G. Chapuis, ibid. 64, 2328 (1981).
- [22] G. Herzberg, 'Electronic Spectra and Electronic Structure of Polyatomic Molecules', van Nostrand, New York 1966, p. 518; H.E. Howard-Lock & G.W. King, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 36, 53 (1970); P.H. Schippers & H.P.J. M. Dekkers, Chem. Phys. 69, 19 (1982).
- [23] Y. Bessière & P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 232 (1980).